

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/750,361 | 12/31/2003 | Nelson Minar | 16113-0761001 GP064-07-US | 4924 | |
| 26192 7590 FISH & RICHARDS | 06/15/2009 ON P C | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 1022 | | | CHAMPAGN | CHAMPAGNE, DONALD | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN | N 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3688 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/750,361 | MINAR, NELSON | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Donald L. Champagne | 3688 | |

| | Donald L. Champagne | 3688 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendix for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| periods; a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i | n. | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | - I price in the interior (| |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). filed 3-1: | 1-09 | |
| | /Donald L. Champagne/ Primary Examiner, Art U | | |
| | initially Examiner, Art O | III 3300 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments of independent claims 1, 65 and 72 do not overcome the rejection under 35 USC 101 because the computerized steps (e.g., "inserting" for claim 1) are regarded as insignificant extra-solution activity.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments do not clearly overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112, first and second para. For example, applicant argues (p. 15 of 26, second para I for its armination item of a channel' is supported by "Fig. 16 and the accompanying lext", which the examiner assumes means para. [0058] of the published application (US 200501665164.1). Nowhere in this figure or text is the phrase "information item" used. Figure includes "item 1" and "ad item 1" leaving unclear which, if either, is the "first information item". Further, the text identifies "a set of information in 1600" is the claimed "First information item"?